The pending claims of the present application are directed towards embodiments of a

bracket and mounting system for use with fiber optic cables. Specifically, the bracket of the

present application allows for the proper alignment of fiber optic cables, which is crucial because

of the need to align the cables with optical transmitting and receiving components. Improper

alignment may result in degraded optical signals and potentially lost data. Additionally, the

bracket of the present application prevents multiple fiber optic cables from becoming entangled

with one anther. Further, the bracket helps prevent breakage of the fiber optic cables when used

in settings such as a laboratory.

For example, Claim 1 recites a bracket configured to organize a plurality of fiber optic

cables. The bracket comprises a propping member having at least one aperture and at least one

coupling member disposed in the at least one aperture. The coupling member is configured to

selectively couple the end of a fiber optic cable. Additionally, Claim 1 recites a connector

member connected to the propping member.

The Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 1 is not anticipated by the cited Kuhn

reference in that Kuhn does not disclose each and every element of Claim 1. For example, Kuhn

does not recite a coupling member configured to selectively couple to the end of a fiber optic

cable as recited in Claim 1. Further, Kuhn fails to disclose a bracket that can be used to organize

fiber optic cables.

Kuhn discloses a bracket for mounting pilot burners in relation to main burners. The

Office Action relies on Figure 1 and the description thereof as allegedly disclosing the elements

described above with regard to Claim 1. As recited in column 2, lines 27-29, Figure 1 illustrates

a gas inlet connector 8 that may be coupled to a main burner 2. Kuhn does not suggest or teach

that a gas inlet connector can be used to selectively couple to the end of a fiber optic cable. By

Page 2 of 6

its very nature, a gas inlet valve is used for coupling gas supply means to a burner and not for coupling an end of a fiber optic cable as required by Claim 1. In fact, *Kuhn* teaches in column 2, lines 25-41 that gas inlet connector 8 is used to attach a main burner 2 to a gas supply means.

Additionally, the Office Action points out element 50 as part of the fiber optic coupling member. However, in Column 3, lines 45-52, *Kuhn* recites that element 50 is used to hold a pilot burner in place. As before, *Kuhn* does not teach or suggest that element 50 may couple to the end of a fiber optic cable. Therefore, *Kuhn* does not disclose at least one coupling member configured to selectively couple to the end of a fiber optic cable. Accordingly, because *Kuhn* does not disclose each and every element of claim 1, *Kuhn* does not anticipate claim 1.

Furthermore, *Kuhn* fails to disclose a bracket that can be used to organize fiber optic cables. As mentioned, the present application teaches that the bracket of the present invention is used to organize fiber optic cables and Claim 1 is directed towards that end. *Kuhn*, on the other hand, discloses a bracket for burners and no where teaches or suggests the use of the bracket for fiber optic cables. In Figure 1 cited by the Office Action, a main burner 2 and a pilot burner 30 are depicted. If fiber optic cables replaced the burners, there would be nothing to couple them to the bracket. The fiber optic cables would simply have to hang in the bracket apertures. The fiber optic cables would still be prone to entanglement and damage and would not be properly aligned.

Independent Claim 12 recites a mounting system configured to organize a plurality of fiber optic cables with elements similar to those discussed above with regard to Claim 1. Accordingly, Claim 12 is not anticipated by *Kuhn* for at least those reasons stated above with regard to Claim 1.

In addition, the Applicant respectfully submits that *Kuhn* fails to disclose each and every element of Claim 12. Claim 12 recites a mounting system comprising a base and a bracket

similar to the bracket of Claim 1 configured to be mounted to the base. The Office Action relies

on Figure 1 and the description thereof as allegedly disclosing the elements described with

regard to Claim 12. However, Figure 1 only discloses apertures 18 for securing the bracket to

the base. In column 2, lines 64-66 cite that "in each corner of the rectangular portion holes 18

are provided for mounting a universal header plate to a frame (not shown)." Nowhere does Kuhn

teach or describe that a base is part of the bracketing system described. Kuhn merely teaches that

holes are available to be used to couple a bracket to a frame if desired. Therefore, Kuhn does not

disclose a mounting system for organizing a plurality of fiber optic cables comprising a base and

a bracket configured to be coupled to the base. Accordingly, because Kuhn does not disclose

each and every element of claim 1 and for the reasons just provided, Kuhn does not anticipate

claim 12.

Claims 2-4, 7, 9, 11, 13-16, 18, and 21 variously depend from claims 1 and 12; and are

therefore, patentably distinguishable over the cited Kuhn reference for at least those reasons

stated above with regards to claims 1 and 12.

For at least the foregoing reasons, the Applicant respectfully request that the rejection of

claims 1-5, 7, 9, 11-16, 18, and 21 as allegedly being anticipated by the Kuhn reference be

withdrawn.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

On pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action, claims 6, 8, 10, 17, 20, and 22 are rejected under

35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatable over *Kuhn*. The Applicant respectfully traverses

this ground of rejection.

Claims 6, 8, 10, 17, 20, and 22 variously depend from Claims 1 and 12. As discussed

previously, Kuhn does not disclose a bracket configured to organize a plurality of fiber optic

Page 4 of 6

cables comprising a propping member having at least one aperture and at least one coupling

member disposed in the at least one aperture, the coupling member being configured to

selectively couple to the end of a fiber optic cable. Accordingly, because Kuhn does not disclose

or suggest each and every element of Claims 1 and 12, Kuhn does not render Claims 1 and 12

unpatentable. Accordingly, claims 6, 8, 10, 17, 20, and 22, which depend from Claims 1 and 12,

are patentably distinguishable over the Kuhn reference for at least those reasons stated above

with regard to Claims 1 and 12.

In addition, the cited reference must disclose prior art analogous to the present

application. M.P.E.P § 2141.01(a) states that "in order to rely on a reference as a basis for

rejection of an applicant's invention, the reference must either be in the field of the applicant's

endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the

invention was concerned." The Applicant respectfully submits that pilot burners are not in the

same field of endeavor as fiber optic cables. Further, Kuhn is not pertinent to the problem with

which the present application is concerned. Kuhn teaches a bracket for mounting a pilot burner

in relation to a main burner. The Applicant respectfully contends this is not pertinent to the

problem of organizing fiber optic cables to prevent entanglement and breakage and to ensure

proper alignment of the fiber optic cables. As such, the Kuhn reference may not be used as a

reference for a 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection.

For at least those reasons stated above, The Applicant respectfully request that the

rejection of claims 6, 8, 10, 17, 20, and 22 as allegedly being unpatentable in view of the Kuhn

reference be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, the Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is

in condition for allowance, and requests the Examiner issue a notice to the effect. In the event

Page 5 of 6

Application No. 10/797,158 Amendment "A" dated December 6, 2004

Reply to Office Action mailed September 27, 2004

that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the

undersigned attorney at (801) 533-9800.

Dated this 6th day of December, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

Adrian J. Lee

Registration No. 42,785

Attorney for Applicant

Customer No. 022913

BLM0000003419V001